

## Any further information requested by the Examining Authority under Rule 17 of the Examination Procedure

From the examining inspector's letter of 14/10/2025:

Points 11 & 12: The proposed mitigation of 3m hedges and provision of the 5m width of footpaths is inappropriate and non-representative of the footpaths in the area I have personal knowledge of, where sweeping views are more the norm. I particularly cite footpath 152/6/10 to the north of Cassington which I (and many other members of the community) use on a daily basis and which gives views across to the Column of Victory at Blenheim to the north and to the spire of (grade I listed) St Peter's church, Cassington, to the south.

Point 16: I am highly concerned re the risk of flying materials from any storm weather (which is only becoming increasingly frequent) from panels close to my home (my property boundary being within 85m of solar panels) and other community amenities such as schools and footpaths. I have not had this concern, nor that of potential chemical release in event of damaged panels, satisfactorily addressed by the Applicant (having highlighted these issues back in February).

From the examining inspector's letter of 23/10/2025:

4. The visual amenity from the properties to the north of the Eynsham road through Cassington will be substantially worsened by the proposed development. Panels will be visible just 85m from the boundary of these properties and will dominate the current sweeping view upwards over the hill towards Blenheim. From ground floor windows (and gardens) hedges will obscure rather than mitigate and from upper floor windows will achieve neither.

5. The suggested 250m buffer zone would be a vast improvement on 85m, giving greater visual amenity and safety to residents.